

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/018,670 02/27/2002 Jes H. Petersen 214848 2556 23460 7590 12/16/2003 EXAMINER LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD ATKINSON, CHRISTOPHER MARK TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780 3753

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

he

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/018,670	Petersen	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art U	1		
	Kitkinson	30	153		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet w	ith the corresponde	ence address		
Period for Reply	_				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). I mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within</li> </ul>	the statutory minimum of thirty	/ (30) days will be conside	ered timely.		
<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause</li> <li>Amy reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	and will expire SIX (6) MONTH the application to become ABA	IS from the mailing date on NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	of this communication.		
Status /	, ,				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	0/14/03			·	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This ac	ction is non-final.				
3) $\square$ Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ p$				ts is	
Disposition of Claims					
4) (Claim(s) /-2/	-	is/are pend	is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5)  Claim(s)		is/are	allowed.		
6) (Claim(s) / 3, 8-9, //-	16 al 20-2	is/are	rejected.	-	
7) (Claim(s) (-7,10 and 1)-	19	is/are	objected to.		
8) Claims	are subje	ect to restriction a	ınd/or election r	equirement.	
Application Papers				•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e a) accepted or	b)□ objected to b	ov the Examiner	,	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		_	•	•	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	=			the Evernine	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply		approved by	uisappioved by	the Examine	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.			•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		C 5 440(a) (d) a.	- 40		
a) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign in a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 0.5.	C. 3 119(a)-(d) 0	· (T).	٠	
_ /			<i>&gt;</i>		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents hat</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents hat</li> </ol>			<i>~</i> •		
	•		<del></del>	<del></del> ·	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bur</li> <li>*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the street of</li></ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	)), <u> </u>	vational Stage		
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>			
·		:			
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provision</li> <li>15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic</li> </ul>				or the track	
Attachment(s)	c priority under 30 U.	3.C. 33 120 8NO/	ULIZI;		
1) Totice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	PTO-413) Paper Note)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Pr	:			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6	6) Other:				
		:			

Serial Number: 10/018,670 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

## Response to Election

Applicant's election of species B as illustrated in figures 5-8 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search would can be made without serious or undue burden to the Examiner. This is not found persuasive because searching all claims and embodiments will place a serious and undue burden on the Examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim 2 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected species, the requirement having been traversed in Paper No. 9.

Claims 1-21 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 8-9, 11-16 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claims 8-9 and 11-16, the recitation "the coupling elements" lacks antecedence.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Mathur et al.

Serial Number: 10/018,670

Art Unit: 3753

See figures 1-3.

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 3

Claims 4-7, 10 and 17-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 8-9, 11-16 and 20-21 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s)

under 35 U.S.C. 112 set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

December 12, 2003

**CHRISTOPHER ATKINSON** PRIMARY EXAMINER